## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/767,471	CARGILL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen Kapushoc	1634	

	Stephen Kapushoc	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the f	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension is have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or (, set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely finally reduced any ament patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 4/21/2008. A brief in or of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	lucing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		DTOL OCA)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See attached.					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 36 and 39-45 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>	be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: 36 and 39-45.					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 46.49-56 and 59-65.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). filed 02/13/2008 13. To ther:					
<del>-</del> -					
/Jehanne Sitton/	/Stephen Kapushoc/				
Primary Examiner Art unit 1634	Examiner, Art Unit 1634				